Nordic Energy Efficiency Conference Oslo 2025

How have energy consumption patterns developed post the energy crisis of 2021-2023?

Roberta Moschetti SINTEF



Summary and key takeaways

How have energy consumption patterns developed post the energy crisis of 2021-2023? Roberta Moschetti, SINTEF.

The research from SINTEF included a survey of the household energy behaviour in relation to the energy crisis of 2021-2023. Moschetti commented initially that household energy use is central to sustainability efforts and that they assessed how consumer behaviour reacted to the price shocks of 2021-2023.

The target group was 3,350 respondents aged 18+ from six Nordic countries (Iceland, Faroe Islands, Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden). Their study found increased awareness across most Nordic countries, except in the Faroe Islands and Iceland, where energy habits changed little.

Norway, Denmark, Finland, and Sweden showed a significant rise in energy-saving behaviours among consumers. However, many consumers adopted short-term measures, such as lowering indoor temperatures during price spikes. The study also found that vulnerable groups struggled more with adjusting their energy use during high-cost periods.

According to Moschetti, many respondents plan to continue energy-saving efforts despite scepticism about impact. She also noted that there is a high reluctance to apply for energy efficiency subsidies; this could partially be because many are unaware of available support programs.

She further demonstrated that investment support was most significant in Norway and Sweden, while the cost of energy efficiency measures remained a major barrier in Iceland, Denmark, Finland, and Sweden. Age mattered for behaviour in the sense that the younger groups (aged 18-29) focused on costs and energy savings, the middle groups (aged 30-69) considered a mix of attributes, and in the oldest groups (aged 70+) the decisions were driven by existing preferences.

Further education made a difference in the sense that middle and high education groups consider all factors more systematically. The earlier habits affected the decision of the low-education respondents in a more substantial way.

At the end of her presentation, Moschetti indicated that subsidies may have a limited effect.

Key takeaways:

- Energy price spikes triggered quick behavioural shifts, but some groups need extra support.
- There is no one-size-fits-all solution. Energy behaviour varies by age and education.
- Subsidies may have limited impact. Investment costs and energy savings are stronger motivators than financial incentives.



Roberta Moschetti, SINTEF.





- Background
- Survey on changes in households' energy behaviour in relation to the 2021–2023 energy crisis
 - Framing and structure
 - Some findings
- Conclusions



Background

- Energy use in households: Central to individual lifestyles and sustainability efforts. Influenced by a combination of internal and external factors
- Energy crisis in 2021-2023: Households facing significantly high energy prices, prompting increased awareness of energy consumption
- Impact on consumer behaviour: Growing interest in energy efficiency measures as a response to rising costs.





The survey

- Web-panel survey: Part of the project on energy efficiency in the Nordics
- Goal: Understand respondents' energy consumption patterns, perspectives on energy efficiency, and willingness to adopt energysaving practices amid the global energy crisis
- Target: 3,350 respondents aged 18+ from six Nordic countries (Iceland, Faroe Islands, Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden)
- When: September/October 2024, conducted by Norstat





Survey sections

- Section 1 Background: Sociodemographic factors and housing characteristics
- Section 2 Attitudes/Motivations: Attitudes towards energy use and willingness to adopt energy-efficient solutions
- Section 3 Behaviour: Changes in household energy behavior during the 2021–2023 energy crisis
- Section 4 Energy efficiency measures: Medium- to longterm measures adopted or planned









Survey sections

Section 5 – Future Intentions:

Stated preference methodology: To explore preferences for future energy efficiency measures, based on random utility maximization and D-efficient design (software NGENE)

- Energy efficiency measures: Installing tripleglazed windows; adding insulation; using energy monitoring systems; implementing night temperature-lowering systems; installing balanced ventilation system with heat recovery
- ✓ Attributes: Investment costs, energy savings, and available support

SET 1_2	Option 1	Option 2	None
Measure	Install energy-efficient triple-	Add extra insulation to the	
	glazed windows for the entire	external walls	
	home		
Investment cost			
	0 5 10	0 5 10	
Energy savings			
	0 5 10	0 5 10	
Investment support	Financed 0% investment cost	Financed 15% investment	
		cost	



Awareness of energy use



I am less aware Unchanged I am more aware I don't know

> Overall trend:

Rising energy awareness in most countries, with varying levels of consumer action.

Common patterns:

- Norway, Denmark, Finland, Sweden: Significant increases in awareness, with notable changes in energy-saving behaviors.
- Faroe Islands & Iceland: Minimal changes in energy consumption and awareness during the crisis.



Energy saving behaviour in Faroe Islands

40%

50%

60%

70%

■ Before 2021 ■ During the energy crisis 2021-2023 ■ After 2023



Energy saving behaviour in Denmark

■ Before 2021 ■ During the energy crisis 2021-2023 After 2023

None of these

Reduce the use of energy-intensive amenities, such as hot tubs, saunas, etc. Shift to other fuels for heating (i.e. firewood) when the electricity prices are... Reduce the use of electric devices (such as: computer, TV...) Swich to use electric appliances to hours with lower electricity prices Air dry clothes instead of using the tumble dryer Use washing machine programmes with lower temperatures Load full washing machine before turning it on Lower the water temperature when hand washing the dishes Load full dishwasher before turning it on Pay more attention to cooking habits (i.e. put lids on boiling pans) Turn off lightning and other electric devices when not in use Lower the water temperature when showering Shower less often/take shorter showers Lower all rooms' temperature when you are at home Lower rooms' temperature during night Lower rooms' heating/air conditioning when you are not at home Lower temperature in unused rooms when you are at home



SINTEF

SINTEF

Energy saving measures across Nordic countries







- Energy saving behaviour: small perceived impact
- Energy-saving measures: small to moderate perceived impact

Many respondents uncertain on the impact!

12 Energy saving behaviour Energy saving measures

Willingness to sustain energy saving behaviours over time

Reduce the use of energy-intensive amenities, such as hot tubs, saunas, etc. Shift to other fuels for heating (i.e. firewood) when the electricity prices are high Reduce the use of electric devices (such as: computer, TV...) Swich to use electric appliances to hours with lower electricity prices Air dry clothes instead of using the tumble dryer Use washing machine programmes with lower temperatures Load full washing machine before turning it on Lower the water temperature when hand washing the dishes Load full dishwasher before turning it on Pay more attention to cooking habits (i.e. put lids on boiling pans) Turn off lightning and other electric devices when not in use Lower the water temperature when showering Shower less often/take shorter showers Lower all rooms' temperature when you are at home Lower rooms' temperature during night Lower rooms' heating/air conditioning when you are not at home Lower temperature in unused rooms when you are at home



SINTEF

To a considerable extent

To some degree

To a low degree

I do not know

SINTEF

Intention to apply for support for energy efficiency measures



Yes

- Yes, but I don't know the potential options
- Yes, but I don't know how to apply for available support schemes
- No, there are no appropriate support schemes available

> Overall trend:

High % of respondents not willing to apply for any support scheme within energy efficiency.

Common patterns:

Similar % of respondents either not knowing how to apply or lacking appropriate options.

No



Key findings from the Stated Preference Method

- > Energy savings: Key driver in all countries
- Investment support: Most influent in Norway and Sweden
- **Costs:** Statistically significant role in Iceland, Denmark, Finland, and Sweden

➢ Bias:

- Finland: Preference for energy monitoring systems
- Sweden: Preference for energy-efficient windows

> Age Groups:

- > 18–29: Focus on costs and energy savings
- > 30–69: Considers all attributes
- > 70+: Decisions driven by pre-existing preferences

Education Levels:

- Low: Influenced by pre-existing preferences
- > Middle & High: Consider all attributes with statistical significance



- Price peaks trigger acute measures: During the crisis, households adjusted quickly, like lowering indoor temperatures. Vulnerable groups in the Nordics need extra support during price spikes and cold weather.
- Good habits persist: Most respondents willing to continue energy-saving efforts, even when perceived with small or moderate impact.
- No one-size-fits-all: Responses vary by age/education. Growing interest in energy monitoring and expert advice for tailored solutions.
- Subsidies may have limited impact: Investment costs and energy savings outweigh subsidies. In Sweden/Finland, preferences for certain measures persist regardless of cost or subsidy.



eli.sandberg@sintef.no roberta.moschetti@sintef.no